arXiv:gr-qc/0609091v1 21 Sep 2006

Measuring mass moments and electromagnetic moments of a massive, axisymmetric body, through gravitational waves.

Theocharis A. Apostolatos* and Thomas P. Sotiriou†

*Section of Astrophysics, Astronomy, and Mechanics, Department of Physics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Panepistimiopolis, Zografos GR-15783, Athens, Greece.
†SISSA, International School for Advanced Studies, via Beirut 4, 34014 Trieste, Italy and INFN Sezione di Trieste.

Abstract. The electrovacuum around a rotating massive body with electric charge density is described by its multipole moments (mass moments, mass-current moments, electric moments, and magnetic moments). A small uncharged test particle orbiting around such a body moves on geodesics if gravitational radiation is ignored. The waves emitted by the small body carry information about the geometry of the central object, and hence, in principle, we can infer all its multipole moments. Due to its axisymmetry the source is characterized now by four families of scalar multipole moments: its mass moments M_l, its mass-current moments S_l, its electrical moments E_l and its magnetic moments H_l, where $l = 0,1,2,\ldots$. Four measurable quantities, the energy emitted by gravitational waves per logarithmic interval of frequency, the precession of the periastron (assuming almost circular orbits), the precession of the orbital plane (assuming almost equatorial orbits), and the number of cycles emitted per logarithmic interval of frequency, are presented as power series of the newtonian orbital velocity of the test body. The power series coefficients are simple polynomials of the various moments.

Keywords: Gravitational waves, relativistic multipole moments
PACS: 04.25.Nx, 04.30.Db

INTRODUCTION

The geometry around a massive object is uniquely characterized byo the multipole moments [1, 2, 3, 4] of the central object, as in newtonian gravity. Ryan [5] showed how we could reveal the spacetime geometry of a central axisymmetric body, by measuring a few physical quantities that are related to the kinematics of a hypothetical test body that is orbiting around the central object while it is emitting gravitational waves. This geometry-mapping could be achieved in principle by an advanced network of gravitational wave detectors with high sensitivity. Of course, to determine all moments we need infinitely sensitive detectors. However, knowing a few moments of the central body, we could put restrictions on the various models that are assumed to describe the interior of the central body.

Since the electromagnetic moments of the central object have their own contribution on the geometry around the central object, we could generalize the work of Ryan to yield the relation of all moments to the physical quantities used by Ryan.

In our paper we have considered binaries consisting of (i) a central object is assumed to be stationary and axisymmetric, and characterized by reflection symmetry with re-

spect to its equatorial plane, (ii) a test particle with no charge that is orbiting around the first one on a nearly circular and equatorial geodesic orbit. The axisymmetry of the central object ensures that the spacetime geometry could be described by scalar moments. Since we are dealing with electrovaccuum solutions 4 sets of scalar moments are needed: Its mass moments $M_0, M_2, M_4, \ldots$, its mass-current moments $S_1, S_3, \ldots$, its electric charge moments $E_0, E_1, E_2, \ldots$, and its magnetic moments $H_0, H_1, H_2, \ldots$, where e.g., the $M_0 \equiv M$ moment is the mass of the object, S_1 is its angular momentum, $E_0 \equiv E$ is its charge, and H_1 is its magnetic dipole moment. In every set of moments, each moment appears in steps of 2, and this holds good for the electromagnetic moments as well, due to the reflection symmetry of the metric itself (cf., [2]). The fact that all electromagnetic moments are written above, instead of every second one, is related to the fact that two kind of objects could have axisymmetric metrics, either the ones with even electric moments and odd magnetic moments, or the other way around.

The two precessing frequencies of the orbits (the periastron precession and the orbital precession) are written as functions of the moments of the metric on which the particle is moving. Moreover, although the orbit of the test body is assumed to be a geodesic one, we are going to use the quadrupole formula to infer the energy emitted by the binary. The evolution of the orbit will then be used to obtain alternative information about the moments of the geometry.

Throughout the paper units are chosen so that $G = c = 1$.

THE KINEMATICS OF THE TEST PARTICLE

If we perturb a circular equatorial orbit on a fixed axisymmetric background then two new frequencies arise, the one connected to the periastron precession and the one connected to the orbital precession, that are related to the circular orbit frequency and the metric itself by [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_\alpha &= \Omega - \left(-\frac{g^{\alpha\alpha}}{2} \left[(g_{tt} + g_{t\phi}\Omega)^2 \left(\frac{g_{\phi\phi}}{\rho^2} \right)_{,\alpha\alpha} \right.\right. \\ &- 2(g_{tt} + g_{t\phi}\Omega)(g_{t\phi} + g_{\phi\phi}\Omega) \left(\frac{g_{t\phi}}{\rho^2} \right)_{,\alpha\alpha} \\ &+ \left.\left. (g_{t\phi} + g_{\phi\phi}\Omega)^2 \left(\frac{g_{tt}}{\rho^2} \right)_{,\alpha\alpha} \right] \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where α stands for ρ (periastron precession), or z (orbital precession). Actually, the frequencies written above correspond to the difference between the orbital frequency and the frequency of perturbations in ρ, or z, since these differences will show up in gravitational radiation emitted by the binary as a modulating frequency.

The energy per unit test-body mass for an equatorial circular orbit in an axially symmetric spacetime is

$$\frac{E}{\mu} = \frac{-g_{tt} - g_{t\phi}\Omega}{\sqrt{-g_{tt} - 2g_{t\phi}\Omega - g_{\phi\phi}\Omega^2}}, \tag{2}$$

and the specific energy released as gravitational radiation per logarithmic interval of frequency is

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\mu} = -\Omega \frac{d(E/\mu)}{d\Omega}. \tag{3}$$

The expression above assumes that all the energy lost from the test body has been emitted at infinity as radiation.

The number of gravitational-wave cycles spent in a logarithmic interval of frequency, the quantity that could be most accurately measured by a gravitational wave detector, is

$$\Delta N = \frac{f \Delta E(f)}{dE_{\rm wave}/dt}, \tag{4}$$

where $dE_{\rm wave}/dt$ is the gravitational-wave luminosity, which is assumed to be exactly the rate of energy loss of the orbiting test body. The main contribution of $dE_{\rm wave}/dt$ comes from the mass quadrupole radiative moment of the binary. Therefore, when we give the connection between the various orders of $v \equiv (M\Omega)^{1/3}$ (the orbital velocity) in the power series expansion of ΔN, and the moments of spacetime, only the highest order moments enter our expressions, since lower order moments contribute through higher than quadrupole radiative moments as well in a rather complicated and unclear way. However, at least the first 4 power parameters of ΔN could be written explicitly in terms of the multipole moments.

THE METRIC AS A POWER SERIES OF MOMENTS

To get expressions for these 3 measurable quantities, that are straightforwardly connected to the moments of the central object, one has to reexpress the metric functions in terms of all moments, as well as the radius ρ and the orbital frequency of the test body Ω.

In our paper, we consider only stationary axisymmetric objects that are reflection symmetric with respect to their equatorial plane. The metric of such a central object alone could be written in (t, ρ, z, ϕ) coordinates, in the form of Papapetrou metric [6].

$$ds^2 = -F(dt - \omega\, d\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{F}\left[e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2\right], \tag{5}$$

where F, ω, and γ are the three functions that fully determine a specific metric. These are functions of ρ and $|z|$ only, due to axisymmetry and reflection symmetry. Einstein's equations in vacuum guarantee that once F and ω are given, γ can be easily computed (see [7]). Once we incorporate an electromagnetic field in the vacuum around the compact object, the source of which is the compact object itself, which allows spacetime to

have the same symmetries, the metric above still describes the electrovacuum spacetime, but now the metric and the electromagnetic field should satisfy the Einstein-Maxwell equations. In order to fully compute the metric functions one more complex function, Φ, which is related to the electromagnetic field, is necessary. F, ω, and Φ themselves can be determined by solving the so-called Ernst equations [8, 9], which are the Einstein-Maxwell equations written in a different form. It is a system of non-linear complex differential equations of second order:

$$(\Re(\mathscr{E})+|\Phi|^2)\nabla^2\mathscr{E} = (\nabla\mathscr{E}+2\Phi^*\nabla\Phi)\cdot\nabla\mathscr{E}, \quad (6)$$

$$(\Re(\mathscr{E})+|\Phi|^2)\nabla^2\Phi = (\nabla\mathscr{E}+2\Phi^*\nabla\Phi)\cdot\nabla\Phi, \quad (7)$$

where ∇ denotes the gradient in a cartesian 3D space (ρ,z,ϕ) and $\Re(\ldots),\Im(\ldots)$, here and henceforth, denote the real and imaginary part, respectively, of the complex function in parentheses, while an asterisc * denotes complex conjugate. The third metric function, γ, is then easily computed by integrating the partial derivatives $\partial\gamma/\partial\rho$, $\partial\gamma/\partial z$, which are given as functions of derivatives of all other functions (see [10]).

From the two complex functions, $\mathscr{E}$ and Φ, we could construct the metric functions as

$$\mathscr{E} = (F-|\Phi|^2)+i\varphi, \quad (8)$$

where φ is related with $g_{t\phi}$ through

$$g_{t\phi}=F\omega = F\int_\rho^\infty d\rho'\frac{\rho'}{F^2}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}+2\Re(\Phi)\frac{\partial\Im(\Phi)}{\partial z}-2\Im(\Phi)\frac{\partial\Re(\Phi)}{\partial z}\right)\Bigg|_{z=\text{const}}. \quad (9)$$

Note that there is a sign difference in Eq. (22) of [5], which has been corrected in a later paper of Ryan [11], and comes from an odd convention of ω used by Ernst (see relevant comment of [12]).

Now, instead of $\mathscr{E}$ and Φ, one could use two new complex functions $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$, that play the role of gravitational potential and Coulomb potential respectively, and are more directly connected to the mass and electromagnetic moments of the central body. These potentials are related to the Ernst functions by

$$\mathscr{E} = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}-\tilde{\xi}}{\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}+\tilde{\xi}} \quad (10)$$

$$\Phi = \frac{\tilde{q}}{\sqrt{\rho^2+z^2}+\tilde{\xi}}, \quad (11)$$

and can be written as power series expansions at infinity

$$\tilde{\xi}=\sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty}a_{ij}\overline{\rho}^i\overline{z}^j, \qquad \tilde{q}=\sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty}b_{ij}\overline{\rho}^i\overline{z}^j, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\bar{\rho} \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho^2+z^2}, \qquad \bar{z} \equiv \frac{z}{\rho^2+z^2}, \tag{13}$$

and a_{ij}, b_{ij} are coefficients that vanish when i is odd. This reflects the analyticity of the potentials on the z-axis. The tilded quantities, here and henceforth, are the conformally transformed ones, which are essential for calculating the moments (see [1]).

Due to Ernst equations (6,7) the above power expansion coefficients, a_{ij} and b_{ij}, are interrelated through complicated recursive relations (see [10]). Essentially, these relations are simply an algebraic version of Einstein-Maxwell equations for the coefficients of the power expansion of the metric and the electromagnetic field tensor. The recursive relations could be used to build the whole power series of $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$ from a full knowledge of the metric on the axis of symmetry

$$\tilde{\xi}(\bar{\rho}=0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i \bar{z}^i, \qquad \tilde{q}(\bar{\rho}=0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i \bar{z}^i. \tag{14}$$

In [13] a method of calculating the complex multipole moments of the central object in terms of the m_i's and q_i's is presented. In brief, the gravitational moments are given by

$$P_n = \frac{1}{(2n-1)!!} S_0^{(n)}, \tag{15}$$

where $S_a^{(n)}$ are given by recursive formulas analyzed in [10].

The mass moments M_n and the mass-current moments S_n are related to P_n by

$$P_n = M_n + iS_n, \tag{16}$$

whereas the electric moments E_n and the magnetic moments H_n are related to Q_n by

$$Q_n = E_n + iH_n. \tag{17}$$

Since this algorithm can be used to evaluate the moments in terms of the m_i's and q_i's, one can invert these relations and express the m_i's and q_i's in terms of the moments:

$$\begin{aligned} m_n &= a_{0n} = M_n + iS_n + \text{LOM}, \\ q_n &= b_{0n} = E_n + iH_n + \text{LOM}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where "LOM" stands for lower order multipole moments of any type. Thus, we can use the recursive relations mentioned above to evaluate the a_{ij} and b_{ij} coefficients in terms of the moments.

Finally, following the procedure presented in the beginning of this section we can express the metric functions, and their first and second derivatives as power series of ρ and z with coefficients that are simple algebraic functions of the moments of the massive body. Since in our study we have confined the motion of the test particle on the equatorial plane, we actually need to compute everything at $z = 0$ which makes calculations far simpler than what they seem.

One could argument (see Sec. IIC of [10]) that the reflection symmetry assumed for the metric is consistent with a set of even electric and odd magnetic moments or odd electric and even magnetic moments.

EXPRESSIONS RELATING THE MEASURABLE QUANTITIES WITH MOMENTS

Combining the formulae that are given in Sec. 2 we can express the three measurable quantities as power series of $v \equiv (M\Omega)^{1/3}$ with coefficients that have explicit dependence on all four types of moments. The choice of v as a dimensionless parameter to expand all physical quantities is warranted from the fact that the inspiral phase of a binary, the best exploitable part in gravitational-wave analysis [14], involves comparatively low magnitudes of v. All measurable quantities have been transformed to a dimensionless form as well, for example by dividing the two frequencies Ω_ρ, Ω_z, by the orbital frequency Ω.

We need also a power series expansion of ρ with respect to v, or equivalently Ω. Thus we have to invert the function $\Omega(\rho)$, at least as a power expansion. From an elementary analysis of circular geodesics on the equatorial plane (see [5]) we know that

$$\Omega = \frac{-g_{t\phi,\rho} + \sqrt{(g_{t\phi,\rho})^2 - (g_{tt,\rho})(g_{\phi\phi,\rho})}}{g_{\phi\phi,\rho}}. \tag{19}$$

In the following part of this section we explain the algorithm that one should follow, in order to obtain the power series for Ω_ρ/Ω, Ω_z/Ω, and ΔN. One starts with a power series of $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$ of the form given by Eq. (12). Since no higher than second derivatives of the metric functions with respect to z are necessary, one should keep a_{ij}'s and b_{ij}'s with $0 \leq j \leq 2$, and as many values of i as one needs to carry the power series expansion of the measurable quantities at a desirable order. All quantities that are expressed as power series of $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{z}$, are evaluated at $\bar{z} = z = 0$ at the end, and thus, all expressions are finally power series of $\bar{\rho} = 1/\rho$, due to Eq. (13). Although the a_{ij} and b_{ij} are polynomials of various moments, from the practical point of view it is preferable to keep them as they are, and replace them by their moments dependence only at the final expressions. Then from $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{q}$ we construct $\mathscr{E}$, Φ, and F, φ (cf., Eqs. (8,10)). These are sufficient to build all metric functions. Next, following the procedure described above, we expand Ω as a power series of $1/\rho$, by virtue of Eq. (19). This series is inverted and in this way we obtain $1/\rho$ as a power series of Ω, which then can easily be turned into a power series of the dimensionless parameter v.

Now, the power series representing $1/\rho$ will replace all $1/\rho$ terms appearing at the expansions of the metric, its derivatives, and all other physical quantities depending on them. Finally, one has to rewrite the a_{ij} and b_{ij} terms appearing at the coefficients of all these power series as polynomials of the various moments. The recursive relations that relate all a_{ij} and b_{ij} with $m_k \equiv a_{0k}$ and $q_k \equiv b_{0k}$, which are directly related to the scalar moments of spacetime through Eqs. (24,25) of Ref. [13].

The algorithm described in the previous two paragraphs has been carried out with Mathematica, and has been checked for the following two subcases: (i) When all electromagnetic fields are turned off, by erasing all electromagnetic moments ($E_l = H_l = 0$), our expressions for Ω_ρ, Ω_z, ΔN are identical to the ones computed by Ryan [5]. (ii) For the Kerr-Newman metric it is quite easy to compute Ω, Ω_ρ, Ω_z, and $\Delta E/\mu$ for

a quasi-equatorial, quasi-circular orbit. The expressions we obtain are identical to the ones obtained directly from the Kerr-Newman metric.

The power series expansion for Ω_ρ, Ω_z, take the following form:

$$\frac{\Omega_\rho}{\Omega} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n v^n, \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\Omega_z}{\Omega} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} Z_n v^n, \tag{21}$$

while the corresponding coefficients, up to 5th order for the two frequencies, for the two distinct electromagnetic cases (*es*) and (*ms*) are

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(es)} &= \left(3-\frac{1}{2}\frac{E^2}{M^2}\right), \quad R_3^{(es)} = -4\frac{S_1}{M^2}, \\ R_4^{(es)} &= \frac{9}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\frac{M_2}{M^3}-2\frac{E^2}{M^2}-\frac{13}{24}\frac{E^4}{M^4}, \quad R_5^{(es)} = -10\frac{S_1}{M^2}-\frac{10}{3}\frac{S_1E^2}{M^4}+5\frac{H_1E}{M^3}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(ms)} &= \left(3-\frac{1}{2}\frac{H^2}{M^2}\right), \quad R_3^{(ms)} = -4\frac{S_1}{M^2}, \\ R_4^{(ms)} &= \frac{9}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\frac{M_2}{M^3}-2\frac{H^2}{M^2}-\frac{13}{24}\frac{H^4}{M^4}, \quad R_5^{(ms)} = -10\frac{S_1}{M^2}-\frac{10}{3}\frac{S_1H^2}{M^4}-5\frac{E_1H}{M^3}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$Z_3^{(es)} = 2\frac{S_1}{M^2}, \quad Z_4^{(es)} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{M_2}{M^3}, \quad Z_5^{(es)} = -\frac{H_1E}{M^3}+2\frac{S_1E^2}{M^4}, \tag{24}$$

$$Z_3^{(ms)} = 2\frac{S_1}{M^2}, \quad Z_4^{(ms)} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{M_2}{M^3}, \quad Z_5^{(ms)} = \frac{E_1H}{M^3}+2\frac{S_1H^2}{M^4}, \tag{25}$$

The fact that we have two new sets of moments (the electromagnetic ones) with respect to Ryan allows many more combinations of moments in high order terms. Actually, from a practical point of view these expansions are far more advanced than what will be used in gravitational wave data analysis in the near future. On the other hand the expressions above present an important feature: in every new order term a new moment shows up. This suggests that a very accurate observational estimation of the series could in principle reveal any moment.

A glance at the corresponding terms of the two electromagnetic cases shows that each combination of moments for the (*es*) case is numerically equal to the corresponding combination for the (*ms*) case, if the electric and magnetic moments are interchanged. The sign though is the same for combinations of pure electric, or pure magnetic moments, but opposite for combinations of electric and magnetic moments.

Finally, in order to express ΔN also as a power series of v, we need to expand $dE_{\rm wave}/dt$ as power series of v. As was explained in Sec. 2 we cannot work out the

perturbative analysis of gravitational wave emission at a generic spacetime background; we can only obtain accurate expressions for $dE_{\rm wave}/dt$ up to v^4 after the leading order. Therefore, in the following formulae for ρ and $dE_{\rm wave}/dt$, we write simply the power series coefficients explicitly up to the fourth order. More specifically, in order to compute the power expansion of $dE_{\rm wave}/dt$ we add up all power series contributions (quadrupole radiative moment, current quadrupole radiative moment, and Post-Newtonian corrections) which are the only ones that show up up to the 4th order. Thus, we yield

$$\Delta N = \frac{5}{96\pi}\left(\frac{M}{\mu}\right) v^{-5}\left(1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} N_n v^n\right), \tag{26}$$

where the N_n coefficients for the two electromagnetic cases are given by the following polynomials of the moments

$$\begin{aligned} N_2^{(es)} &= \frac{743}{336}+\frac{14}{3}\frac{E^2}{M^2} \\ N_3^{(es)} &= -4\pi+\frac{113}{12}\frac{S_1}{M^2} \\ N_4^{(es)} &= \frac{3058673}{1016064}-\frac{1}{16}\frac{S_1^2}{M^4}+5\frac{M_2}{M^3}+ \\ &\quad +\frac{12431}{504}\frac{E^2}{M^2}+\frac{179}{9}\frac{E^4}{M^4} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_2^{(ms)} &= \frac{743}{336}+\frac{14}{3}\frac{H^2}{M^2} \\ N_3^{(ms)} &= -4\pi+\frac{113}{12}\frac{S_1}{M^2} \\ N_4^{(ms)} &= \frac{3058673}{1016064}-\frac{1}{16}\frac{S_1^2}{M^4}+5\frac{M_2}{M^3}+ \\ &\quad +\frac{12431}{504}\frac{H^2}{M^2}+\frac{179}{9}\frac{H^4}{M^4}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

As in the rest three measurable quantities, the power expansion of ΔN is such that in every order term a new moment, which was not present in any lower order term, occurs. This is an indication that all moments can in principle be unambiguously extracted from accurate measurements of ΔN.

COMMENTS

As is shown in [15] the first generation of LIGO is not expected to be able to extract the first two moments (S_1 and M_2) with high accuracy (~ 0.05 for the former and ~ 0.5 for the latter one), by analyzing the phase of the waves. If we allow for electromagnetic fields as well, the corresponding monopole (which classically is expected to be very

close to zero) will be measured with even higher accuracy than the other two mass moments, since the charge of the source (or the magnetic monopole in case of some exotic body) is present at even lower order, namely in the v^2 term, while the electric dipole, or the magnetic dipole, that first show up at the v^5 term will be measured with rather disappointing accuracy. On the other hand, analyzing the data of LISA leads to accuracies almost two orders of magnitude higher than the corresponding for LIGO. Thus, it seems quite promising that LISA will give us the opportunity to measure the first few moments, including the electromagnetic dipole moments, quite accurately. Also, the fact that in every new order in the power series of ΔN a new moment appears is significant, since this means that in principle a unique set of moments arises from an accurate estimation of all power series terms. Actually, there are two possible sets of moments; one for each electromagnetic case, since we cannot *a priori* exclude one of them. We can only exclude one of the two sets on physical grounds, if only one of them leads to a physically reasonable classical object (for example a highly magnetized compact object is physically preferable to a compact object with a huge electric dipole). If we manage to measure a few lower moments, we can check if they are interrelated as in a Kerr-Newman metric [13]. A positive outcome of such a test will be of support to the black-hole no-hair theorem in the case that the central object is a black-hole. The case of observational violation of the black-hole no-hair theorem could either mean that the central object is not a black-hole, or that the theorem does not hold. Of course to assume the latter an extra verification that the central object is indeed a black hole is necessary.

While the phase of a gravitational wave is the quantity that can be most accurately measured, since a large number of cycles (a few thousand for LIGO and a few hundred thousand for LISA in case of binaries with high-ratio of masses) is sweeping up the sensitive part of the detectors, the two precession frequencies Ω_ρ and Ω_z, can in principle be measured if the detectors become more sensitive and templates that describe modulating waves are used [16]. If this ever become possible one could use any of them to test the no-hair theorem. This would demand no more than the four lower order terms, since according to this theorem all moments depend on only three quantities (mass, angular momentum, and total charge). Actually, from measurements of modulating frequencies we could not at first determine which frequency corresponds to each precession. However, the power expansions of the two frequencies begin at a different order, and thus we could discern them. Unfortunately, there are terms in these expansions that contain more than one first occurring moment (for example the first term of Ω_ρ, R_2, is a function of E or H and M). However, expansions of Ω_ρ and Ω_z, if used simultaneously, along with the intrinsic dependence of v on M, could finally lead to the full determination of the moments. Notice though that some corresponding terms in the series expansions of the two frequencies depend on the same set of multipole moments, like R_3 and Z_3. Assuming that the observed system is sufficiently well described by our model for the binary, this multipole information could serve to determine these moments with better precision.

If we manage to obtain information about the precessing frequencies or the evolution of the orbits of small bodies around compact stars by other observational means, we could measure the multipole moments (mass moments, mass-current moments, and electromagnetic moments) of the compact central object and thus put strict restrictions on the models that are used to describe the interior of these objects.

Our analysis demonstrates that it will be possible to determine all types of multipole moments of the central object, from future gravitational wave measurements. Thus, apart from spacetime geometry, we could also determine the central body's electromagnetic fields. Although the data of LISA should be suitable for extracting such information with high accuracy, the assumptions of circular and equatorial orbit are not that realistic. From this point of view we consider our work as a step towards a more detailed analysis with not so restrictive assumptions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by Grant No 70/4/4056 of the Special Account for Research Grants of the University of Athens, and in part by Grant No 70/3/7396 of the "PYTHAGORAS" research funding program.

REFERENCES

1. R. Geroch, J. Math. Phys. **11**, 2580 (1970).
2. R. O. Hansen, J. Math. Phys. **15**, 46 (1974).
3. W. Simon and R. Beig, J. Math. Phys. **24**, 1163 (1983).
4. W. Simon, J. Math. Phys. **25**, 1035 (1984).
5. F. D. Ryan, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5707 (1995).
6. A. Papapetrou, Ann. Phys. **12**, 309 (1953).
7. R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
8. F. J. Ernst, Phys. Rev. **167**, 1175 (1968).
9. F. J. Ernst, Phys. Rev. **168**, 1415 (1968).
10. T. P. Sotiriou and T. A. Apostolatos, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 044005 (2005).
11. See Ref. [16] of F. D. Ryan, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7732 (1997) and Eq. (2.10) of M. Shibata and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 104011 (1998).
12. W. Israel, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 641 (1970).
13. T. P. Sotiriou and T. A. Apostolatos, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 5727 (2004).
14. C. Cutler and É. E. Flanagan, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 2658 (1994).
15. F. D. Ryan, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1845 (1997).
16. T. A. Apostolatos Phys. Rev. D **54**, 2421 (1996).